

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,142	07/18/2003	Young Jin Kim	839-1216	1867	
23117 7	590 01/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC		JONES, J	JONES, JUDSON		
8TH FLOOR	*****		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MA

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/622,142	KIM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Judson H. Jones	2834			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>3 and 6-9</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲 -	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ε	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 3 and frod asians a smoot asian for a not of the contined copies flot received.						
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mai	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>121203</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Travaly 5,581,869 A in view of Budinger et al. 6,527,165 B1. Travaly teaches a method of repairing the end fittings of a water-cooled stator bar by disconnecting the inlet and outlet end fittings of said stator bar (as described in column 2 lines 35-38) and brazing the joints (as described in column 2 lines 56-58) but does not disclose applying a protective coating to the brazed joint. Budinger et al. teaches applying a protective coating to a brazed joint in column 2 lines 2-14. Since Travaly teaches in column 1 lines 37 ½ to 39 ½ that brazed joints have problems with corrosion and Budinger et al. teaches how to protect brazed joints from corrosion, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the technique of applying a protective coating to a brazed joint. As for the composition of the coating, see Budinger et al. column 2 line 53.

In regard to claim 4, see Travaly column 1 lines 15 ½ to 19 ½. Since water flows in the stator bars of the Travaly device, that water will come in contact with brazed joint and oxidize said joint.

In regard to claim 5, see Travaly column 2 lines 44-48.

In regard to claim 11, see Travaly figure 1 and see Travaly column 2 lines 30-55.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Travaly as modified by Budinger et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Zhao et al. 6,645,560 B2. Travaly as modified by Budinger et al. discloses the method of repairing end fitting with a protective coating over a brazed joint but does not disclose the thickness of the protective coating. Zhao et al. teaches oxidation resistant protective coatings in column 3 lines 8-18 and teaches a thickness of 10 to 200 microns in column 4 lines 66-67. Since Travaly as modified by Budinger et al. discloses a protective coating but does not disclose the thickness of the coating, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have turned to a reference such a Zhao et al. that discloses a protective coating and discloses the thickness of such a coating.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not disclose or teach the use of a pencil coater to apply a protective coating in combination with the other features of claim 3. The prior art of record does not disclose or teach dovetailing the end portions of inlet and outlet end fittings in combination with the other features of claim 6.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Peter 3,681,634 discloses the use of dovetail connections between motor parts in figure 1. Dovetails are well known in the woodworking and metal fabrication arts. However it is Application/Control Number: 10/622,142

Art Unit: 2834

difficult to figure out why and how one might utilize dovetail joints in the Travaly device. Using dovetail joints in a method for repairing stator bars does not seem obvious.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judson H. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-2025. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHJ 1/3/2004